

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,326		11/25/2003	Timothy P. Bender	D/A3597	6502	
25453	7590	06/09/2005		EXAMINER		
		ENTATION CEN	TRUONG, DUC			
XEROX CORPORATION 100 CLINTON AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHESTE			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1711		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,326	BENDER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>20 May 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32 and 33 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	withdrawn from consideration	n.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color of the co] accepted or b) ☐ objected to o the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94:3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>020404</u>. 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 5/20/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims in Group I, II and III require common elements and do not require an additional search. This is not found persuasive because the search is based on the required reactants of each search in that the search for Group I does not require the presence of a dihalogenated monomer, as required in Group III. Further, the composition of Group II would be incorporated into Group I based on the amendment to the claims of group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller et al (5,814,426) of record on 1449.

The reference discloses polymers having the formulae (III-VIII) (see cols 1-2, cols 27-28; cols 37-380 in that C component (see col. 14, line 20 et seq.: col. 17, line 35 et seq.) in that the last formula can be considered the claimed phenol compound of the formula Ar(OH)x wherein x>3 and R is an aryl group, and a polymer comprising a polycarbonate (see col. 36, line 51) to produce a polymeric binder.

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose the claimed phenolic compound of the formula Ar(OH)x wherein x>3.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,326 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

However, the reference does disclose a C component in that the last formula can be considered Ar(OH)4, as in the claims.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the phenolic compound from the reference within the limitations of the instant claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER
